

# WRECKING BALLS HIT BEFORE THE COLLAPSE

**Why Trump, Brexit And Bitcoin  
Were Just The Beginning Of Accelerating  
Trends That Will Transform The World Order**



A Leakslate Writers Production



Powered By [Leakslate.dk](https://leakslate.dk)

Published April 29, 2018

# INTRODUCTION

We live in truly exciting times! What's important is that you will hardly recognize this fact before it's too late. Anyone can look back and say: "Whoa, those were exceptionally historical times!" but it's infinitely harder to say, "My God, these ARE exceptionally historical times"—and make practical changes based on this.

This is now almost the middle of 2018. Two years ago, many people still had considerable doubt as to whether Donald Trump would become the presidential candidate of the Republican Party; the majority believed an easy win lay ahead of Hillary Clinton on the Democratic side. Easy to say now—but Donald Trump would go on to perform a landslide win in November 2016, and of course, there was never really any doubt that he had good chances to do so. But if you disagree, just go back to 2016 and ask Hillary, and her arrogant reply would certainly make you reconsider!

Two years ago—back in 2016—the EU project started to exhibit serious signs of weakness as the British people decided to vote on whether or not to leave. Before that, most people would not hesitate to say that the EU was here to stay—and that's a good thing, too. However, at the moment we are seeing an ever-expanding ripple effect stemming from Brexit, most recently during the Italian elections in which populist forces won, and by extension, energized the entire populist movement in Europe.

Italy\*, Hungary\*, Poland, Czech Republic\*, Slovakia, Austria, Russia—the list grows long,

as more nations commit "treason" to the EU project. You could say that the waves of immigration started a chain reaction, urging the European people to scrutinize not just EU immigration policies, but EU policies in general. Of course, the "Trump Effect" is an important underlying factor in the rise of the populist sentiment in Europe. Would probably never have come to this had Hillary claimed the electoral majority.

Whether you like all of these changes or not, they are profound, and by the looks of it, this is just the beginning. Naturally, it is mentally hard to adapt to new circumstances in the span of just 2-3 years, but if you asked many Americans or Europeans, they would embrace these changes as they have been waiting for them for many years. Of course, if you asked a few globalists, they might give off a different vibe.



We may also address the news media situation. Already back in 2015, Trump coined the term "Fake News" and used it systematically during his campaign. It is a fact that since then, and even up until this very point in time, a widespread information war is unfolding. This is very interesting as we now must question what we read in the newspapers—it is undoubtedly a healthy thing that readers need to activate new cognitive resources to evaluate the legitimacy of the sources they encounter.

A few years ago, did you entertain a rock-solid belief that Hillary Clinton would become the next US President, that the EU was growing ever stronger, that whatever you read from CNN or BBC was as close to the truth as you could get, and that your bank would remain the center of your financial enterprises? If so, you would have been wrong on so many accounts. So how can this change so quickly? Is it possible that we all need to reexamine our whole view of the world, that we suffer from a serious lack of understanding, or, on the contrary, that some "dark" forces just came out of nowhere and put us on a track to a completely new world order that we do not desire?

## THE CRYPTO REVOLUTION

More than \$300,000,000,000 have now been put into the cryptocurrency market, the leading currency of which is of course Bitcoin. Most people will recognize the brand by now after huge media campaigns in 2013 and in 2017. Of course, the drop from almost \$20,000 per Bitcoin down to the \$6-12,000 levels in the beginning of 2018, combined with extensive media reports putting Bitcoin and other cryptos in a negative light—not to

mention outright ban by major social media actors, such as Google, Facebook, and Twitter—did impact the popular belief that cryptos are here to stay negatively.

But the market did explode in 2017, notwithstanding the following collapse in the beginning of 2018, and we all should ask ourselves why this happened. The underlying concept of a decentralized, digital currency making worldwide transactions possible with minimal transfer time and minimal fees, is it a strong one? Or are we too afraid to become our own bank and would rather rely on traditional banking institutions, not to mention appreciate good old-fashioned cash in our wallets?

Time will tell. But remember: We live in historic times right now. Since 2015 we have witnessed major trends coming into play: Trump, Brexit, the populist movement, alternative news, the blockchain and cryptos. You can decide for yourself, but what do you think will happen next? Is it just a brief resurgence of original ideas followed by the reemergence of "traditional" trends, or do we right now find ourselves at the very beginning of a much larger movement, a renaissance, a revolution, a new world order?

The crypto revolution is merely beginning to take form. All we had was Bitcoin back in the early days after the financial crisis of 2008-2009. Then major players came to light, like Ripple, Litecoin, and Ethereum. Not to challenge Bitcoin, but to expand on the innovative process itself. Then in 2017, there was an explosion of new cryptos well into the thousands, and the general popularity of cryptos was fueled by Bitcoin's immense price rise from \$1,000 to well beyond \$10,000.

This year, the market needs to go through a major correction phase in January, February, March, April, etc. The market is cooling down. At least that's how it looks. But the fact is that new cryptos are flourishing, and the blockchain technology is being adopted on so many new levels worldwide. So in reality, this is most likely the silence before the storm. By now, most people have learned what volatility in the crypto market really means. You buy at \$17,000—and then you sell at \$8,000. Big mistake! However, the fundamental mistake was to buy high, because emotional incentives were the driving force behind your purchase. You learn that cold blood is needed, so the next time you see a surge in price, you wait for the correction to take place, and then you buy low.



These are just superficial points; the fact of the matter is that we all need to smarten up\*. And that's what you've been paying your hard-earned money for. But it doesn't mean that there is no way to make a re-entry at some point. Maybe you are tired of Bitcoin. So maybe you try a new crypto being well-aware what volatility awaits you. It's all a psychological question. Get into people's heads and understand why they bought Bitcoin at the end of 2017, understand why they sold Bitcoin at the beginning of 2018, and understand why they will buy cryptos again in the future. It's a learning curve taking place globally, and that is why we have not yet surpassed the 1 Trillion level on total market cap. But we will.

So far, the total market cap record is 835 Billion US Dollars. Sounds like a lot, but it really isn't. If you imagine cryptos being adopted on the global scale as a new means of payment and storage, replacing the existing fiat and precious metals standard, you need a much bigger chart to map out the long-term potential. In fact, 10 Trillion is absolutely realistic in just a few years' time.

So why don't we quit the bank and start using cryptos for all of our payments tomorrow? Again, it's a mentality question. People take time to adapt to new circumstances, in particular when it comes to money, payments, and savings. You know, we all work hard for the next paycheck, so we don't want to jeopardize our safety for any reason at all. But what is a fact is that general trust in the ruling banking system is on the decline. We know that all these banks and central banks are making a ton of money based on our financial survival. More personal control and flexibility, lower costs, and faster transactions are

certainly things that capture our attention. But we don't quit the bank tomorrow, because it is all too new and unknown, we got damaged during a price drop, or we simply don't have time to even consider any of it.

"I want this crypto... No that crypto!"

Technology is a funny thing. First, you hear about the new thing, but you laugh it off. Then, you hear about it again, and you think hmm. Finally, you adopt it, and pretty soon, it becomes a natural part of your daily life. Like with the computers, the internet, and the smartphone. All of which were adopted naturally in a matter of a few years.

The young people of today cannot imagine a world without computers, internet, and smartphones. Not to mention Google, YouTube, and Facebook—but that's a different matter. They grow up experiencing these technologies as a continual part of their life, and they never look back.

By now, we are in the middle of what you might say is phase four of the crypto revolution. The first phase was 2009-2013, the early years. Then phase two in 2013 when Bitcoin was all over the news and jumped to \$1,156. Followed by phase three, the long cooling phase, from 2014 to 2016. Finally, phase four 2017-?, the big explosion. This phase will lead to phase five: Mass adoption and replacement of fiat currencies on a worldwide scale.

You don't really use Bitcoin for cashing out your salary, paying the rent or buying groceries at the supermarket. Because it's not in phase five yet. But you will eventually. However, the market needs to mature and offer a wide variety of products that satisfy all needs. Maybe Bitcoin is the perfect crypto, maybe not. Maybe Bitcoin, Ethereum, Ripple, and Litecoin will dominate at the top forever. Maybe not. The important question is: How close are we to mass adoption?

This points back to phase four, the explosive stage, when will it reach its climax? Many people think that almost \$20,000 per Bitcoin and 835 Billion in total cryptocurrency market value was it. This is nothing but a naïve assumption. When we see Bitcoin cross the \$20,000 mark and the entire market cross the 1 Trillion mark, we will probably see a faster and faster acceleration from this point on. The most likely scenario is that this will happen in 2018\*. Right now is the silence before the storm\*.

You know, the good old banking system is really against cryptos. This is serious competition—and they are using all their prowess to stall public interest. Basically, they are using a pump-and-dump strategy. This strategy is working, at least temporarily, due to the fact that people tend to associate rising prices with real progress, when the rise is actually just an artificial pumping taking place. So, when the price dumps, again people think we have reached the peak and now things are slowing down, naturally. But it was all just an artificial bubble. You see the progress, and then you embrace and support it—instead of selling—just to wait for the perfect time to start selling and putting out negative propaganda.

Back in 2013, they pumped the price and made sure all the media outlets reported positively on Bitcoin. After which they sold, sold—and sold. Volatility killed the sentiment. The same thing happened in December of 2017, and January and February of 2018. They pumped the price to almost \$20,000 and generated massive public interest again using the media networks. Then they dumped the price just before Christmas Eve and continued to do so in January, February, and March, while media reports now turn negative, everybody is becoming afraid of regulation and the social media giants are banning all crypto advertisement\*.

Wouldn't you be against cryptos, if you had been working in the banking industry for your whole life and attained high status and income over the years? Now this "infringement" upon your rights, in the form of digital currencies, is showing its ugly face, threatening to put you in the unemployment line, and on the higher levels of the ladder, to rob your banking network of all political power. You are about to lose your control of the money supply—so what do you do? By the way: Are you still keeping those arrows sharp, Evelyn?

Therefore, we are looking at a much bigger war than we thought of at first sight. It is the battle between a really old and established system of central banks, always hungry for profit and power, and hyper-modern inventors and investors. A lot of people don't want another fiscal crisis like back in 2008. But a lot of people do want to remain in power to engineer one.

*How did it come to this?*

Remember Hillary, "the dark heart"? Arrogance! The banks have overstepped for years now. They probably did not expect this reaction. This insane level of innovative thinking that led to the invention of the blockchain and the cryptos. If they did, they probably would have done things a bit differently. But here we are. The banks are running overtime to strangle the sentiment, and so far, successfully, as the market cap is still around 300 Billion. But they did not KILL Bitcoin, as they intended, even though the price fell below \$6,000 after the surge in December. Had it gone down to the \$3-4,000 levels, they might have been successful, because Bitcoin is still the leading cryptocurrency in the world by far, and whatever happens to Bitcoin's price, happens to the entire crypto market.

This is about to change, however. When new cryptos start to flourish this year, they will drive Bitcoin's price back up. And by extension, the surge of Bitcoin will feed back optimism in the entire market. You see Initial Coin Offerings almost every day taking place. The social media giants, aka the banking system, hate the ICOs. They are aware that this level of innovation will only make matters worse, and what will follow is that the giant, Bitcoin, will reemerge, perhaps not as the leading crypto, but certainly as a force to be reckoned with.

Basically, it's impossible to kill the crypto idea now, unless you run all of the major governments of the world. Like with Trump, the establishment was too arrogant and too

late to stop the ripple effect. Yes, the age of censorship is upon us\*, and they will try to outright ban free speech, but sure enough, they will fail. This is good news. Good forces are at work. The universal rule that pride comes before the fall applies to everybody—and even insanely evil and powerful people are just, well, people.

Spring is coming soon. The crypto market has been cooling down for 3-4 months by now. It's time! You will watch the crypto market value exceed 500 Billion once again, with or without Bitcoin surging as well, and during the summer of 2018, we are going to see important signals for moving close to the 1 Trillion mark. After this, it's just acceleration and acceleration. Yes, there will be pump-and-dump forces at work and correction phases to manage, but all in all, 2018 is going to make 2017 look like children's play.

Don't you see, it's meant to happen. It's basically good against evil. And when people start adopting the cryptos on a daily basis, it's just a matter of a few years before the next generation grows up knowing no world without computers, the internet, smartphones—and digital currencies.

The wonderful thing about cryptos is that they are much more fun than traditional money. You pay in US Dollars, British Pounds or Euros, but where's the fun in that? Look at the cash: It could not appear more boring. And cash, what a burden to so many people who just want to make a quick and easy swipe with their smartphones.

With cryptos you can choose between Ripple, NEO, Dash, Zcash, Waves, Dogecoin, to

mention a few. That's fun! And if you're a little experienced, you may even make a profit while holding different cryptos that are about to become more popular. Actually, this should not be ignored completely, the sentiment to make money from surging crypto prices. But it has to be matched by an equal acceptance of losing money due to volatility.

Young people want more choices, more fun. And they need elegant solutions. Not like fiat currency or cash. Also, they don't want to wait for a transaction to take place. Or pay a fee that is ridiculously high. No, they are aware of what they want, and they know how to get it as well. But if you want a second source on this, just ask Steven Seagal.

## Trump and Bitcoin broke down the door for all of us...

Of course, there is the challenge of security. Not "problem" but "challenge." A traditional bank will protect your assets, and you enter a contract so that they will make everything good again in the odd chance of a breach of security. If you send 0.005 Bitcoin to the wrong address, it's over. If someone nefarious gets access to your online wallet, all is lost. However, people are working hard to solve these issues, and if you are willing to trade anonymity with security, you will get a good deal. For sure, people like the Rothschilds should be interested in such a trade!\*

As for the banks, in the future, they will probably offer secure solutions for cold storage of paper wallets, USB sticks and the likes. Forget about fiat. But your bank will still offer you services that supplement your personal bank management on the smartphone. And

why not? If they still see a business opportunity, then why not make some money instead of shutting the entire thing down.

In many ways, we are already halfway there. Netbanking is a natural part of our lives now. Cash is being replaced by digital payments. And we can manage all payments and bills on the internet. The big difference is that the banks were expecting to control all of the digital currencies, as cash ran out, but now a decentralized solution is giving them a hard time indeed. And we should be thankful for this. Imagine a world without cash or anything physical like that, and you have to trust your bank entirely to offer you the freedom to conduct your digital payments in the future. What if they just shut down your account? How are you going to protect yourself against darker forces infiltrating the banking system when there is no cash or no other digital currencies?

By now, the reputation of Bitcoin is severely damaged. Actually, it's a miracle we are still above the \$6,000 levels. But people will start to look for other options. New options. And when the price of Bitcoin goes up again, some people will come back to Bitcoin for another try. But remember: It will take some time to repair the image of Bitcoin, and in all probability, it will likely never be the same again. Still, we don't need it. Bitcoin broke down the door for us all. Like Trump did. And now we just have to wait to see hundreds and thousands of new entities jump through the entrance and shine a new light on all of our daily lives.

## ..and wrecking balls have just this one function

Interestingly, perhaps the very banking system that we have today might be the root cause of so much evil and hardship in the world. Many people actually believe that the central bank of the US, the Federal Reserve Bank, has the power to print money out of thin air. Allegedly, they claimed this privilege during Christmas in 1913 when the Reserve Act was introduced. Whether this is true or not, you feel intuitively that something is very wrong with the entire monetary system. Why are so many people so poor—and why are some people so rich? Will we crash into an iceberg anytime soon?

Inequality is a problem that cryptos will not solve. Yet, cryptos will be an important milestone on the path to true equality. Using cryptos in every aspect of your financial life will make sure that no bank is crashing, stealing your money or putting steep fees on your activities. Also, you will not be under surveillance any longer. In short, things can only get better on the human scale if we adopt cryptos on the wide scale.

What you need is your smartphone—or a hardware wallet—a live wallet and an internet connection. That is all. Now you just need to buy some altcoins, but once that is done, you are ready to feed positive energy into the entire crypto ecosystem. Of course, you still need fiat to cash out your paycheck and pay your bills, but one day, it will no longer be required.

Just imagine! The thing is: We people get so stuck in traditional concepts that we feel

safe around, so we forget unique opportunities that lie just around the corner. Why not log into your online wallet on the 1st of December and verify that your monthly salary of 0.14 BTC has been transferred? Why not run through your utility bills: -0.04 BTC for rent, -0.005 BTC for the car, -0.001 BTC for Wi-Fi, etc.? Why must a Coca-Cola cost \$2.99? Why not 0.54 mBTC? Try to escape the prison that the bankers have put you in over the years—even if you are a bankster yourself!

These words are meant in an optimistic and enthusiastic way; by no means are you under any moral pressure to make any practical changes. Still, the world is changing dramatically as you read these words. Maybe are we accelerating towards a point of no return. Could it be possible that the human population is finally ready to undo centuries of indirect slavery? If so, we achieve this position by being smart and open-minded, by inventing new technologies, by utilizing amazing resources of intelligence and optimism. Ask yourself: What will the future bring in the long run? Will we continue to live in a world of Clinton-Bush-Obama, Merkel, Macron, May, Juncker and the Fed, wars and hunger, suppression and depression, live-and-die, is this our faith forever, or, do we embark on something new and better, will we finally stand up for our rights, claim victory, and set loose our hearts forever?

You can work your entire life, donate most of your salary to banks and taxes, follow the guidelines of the EU, believe what the mainstream media prints and enjoy what is at best a mere reflection of what true freedom feels like. That's never a problem. Just go ahead. But, if you are doing so believing it must be right since so many other people are doing

the exact same thing, don't forget to ask the question: Could we all be living under some greater illusion of life? An illusion that has been carefully grown, incrementally, over so many years by the very same groups of people that also had the financial and political power 200 years ago?

It has now been almost two years since Brexit. That's the equivalent of just 24 months! So much has happened since then. A new world order is taking place. An order in which YOU are in control. In that regard, it's not really an order, since power is being decentralized and we are all held responsible. But it's a wonderful feeling! Yes, the banks, the EU, and the government will not protect you in the same way anymore—but you are free to make your own wins and losses, in a much more real way. What will happen in the next 36 months as we move closer to the summer of 2021?

By that time, we will know who will be the next US President. Will it be Trump again, will it be someone better? We will also know what governments have formed after general elections in Germany and France. Furthermore, it will be possible to look at Brexit and the UK-EU relationship. And what about CNN, MSNBC, and BBC, just to mention a few, will they still dominate the media world? And what about the cryptos—what will the price for 1 Bitcoin be in the summer of 2021?

*What do you wish for the world in the next three years?*

And what about gun rights in the US, the mid-terms and the case against the CIA and the

Clintons? Will Facebook continue to lose value? Will Google continue to dominate the search results? Do we still use YouTube for videos? Is Trump still on Twitter? Are we still forced to watch CNN in the airports? Will Deutsche Bank crash? Will Julian Assange be online again?\* What will happen to ISIS in Syria?

No one knows. But everything is possible. Take nothing for granted in the times we live in. Not even the bad things.

## THE COLLAPSE OF THE EU, A NEW GOLD STANDARD

It took Merkel more than five months to form a coalition and a government after the general election in Germany in 2017. Probably, she did some serious cursing on the 12.6% win for Alternative for Germany. A new election was never a real possibility for her. The question is, did she misstep in her own arrogance, thinking that she would still be the queen of the new coalition?\* That would be very impractical, but also nearly impossible to avoid after so many years at the absolute top of German political life.

Thousands of reports are coming in that the German authorities are suppressing alternative viewpoints. Real demonstrations are starting to form in Germany, with people taking to the streets to demonstrate against Merkel and her immigration policies\*. Free speech is under direct attack by the powers that be. These are just main points, but the fact is that the German people are waking up from years of sleeping with the EU and fantasizing about the uniqueness of their Merkel queen.

In France, Marine Le Pen claimed 1/3 of the votes and therefore lost clearly to Emmanuel Macron in 2017. However, Macron's popularity has tanked\* vehemently since then. It's like he charmed everybody, the perfect wonder boy, but once reality set in, all could see what he was really all about. It must be terrible to wake up to this fact after voting for him in good faith. You feel stupid, humiliated, and manipulated. It will take the French people some time to reconcile with this and start building momentum for the election of someone else, but once they do, it's game over, Macron.

What was it about Macron that fascinated so many people? More to the point, the better question is, what was it about Le Pen that scared so many people? Macron, no children, married to an older woman, close friend of Obama, regardless, was the safe choice. The continuation of something well-known. Le Pen was the extreme solution, something quite new, but still one out of every three French voters in the country did prefer this solution. That's more than 10 million people.

Theresa May of the UK, the perfect administrator of Brexit, yes? The answer is no. Brexit was initiated on a very thin margin to begin with, and you might assume that the Leave majority is gone by now. This seems like a hedge bet: If the people vote Leave, we let May fizzle it out. If the people vote Stay, we put someone there with more EU character. It was never really a vote.

Of course, the British people that voted Leave do have patience. They accept it will take years to fully implement the separation from the EU. But they have waited for two whole

years now. And when you look at the May-Juncker relationship, nothing comes to mind to support the notion that Brexit will be carried out in the near future. At some point, people will have had enough. Go back to your 100 cookery books and what you do best, May!\*

Merkel, Macron, May, they are all things of the past\*. They just don't know it yet. You get this feeling that the EU overlords are just buying time by now. They know what is coming. But they need just a little more time to get something done. What are they waiting for? Could it be the 2018 or 2020\* elections in the US? What we know for sure is that if the Democrats regain control of the House in November 2018\*, they will immediately move to impeach Donald Trump\*. Probably, they are pushing gun control just to energize the Democratic base for the upcoming elections\*. Realistically speaking, the Second Amendment is in no jeopardy.

If he is removed legally this way, the whole populist movement will suffer a severe blow. Mike Pence will have a very hard time getting anything done for the remainder of the period until January 2021. He will become a mere symbol of a US President. And Trump will most likely lose his edge and return to the base of his movement to support the next Republican presidential candidate which will not be Mike Pence. High stakes. And by all indications, the Democrats do have reason to be optimistic about their congressional future.

So let us assume that Trump is removed legally during 2019. What will happen is that it

now all comes down to the next US Presidential election in 2020. The impeachment of Donald Trump\* will prolong the waiting period before the determination of the future of the EU project, but until November 3, 2020, and no longer.

## Hillary is the key to the EU\*

This is what is going to happen: The Democrats are going to launch Hillary Clinton once again\*. But she is going to lose against Sarah Palin. And when Sarah Palin becomes the next US President, all three elections in the France\*, Germany, and the UK will lead to a massive uprising of populism, right into the heart of the EU machine, like water flooding the burning gates of evil. It will not be long before we see the total collapse of the EU after this.

On the contrary, if we assume that Trump is allowed to continue as president until January 2021, one of two things can happen: 1) He keeps or improves his congressional support, which will obviously make him an even stronger president for the following two years, or 2) He loses congressional control, but not to the point of impeachment, which will put him in a similar position to Mike Pence, a mere figurehead.

If the Republicans perform well in November 2018, Trump will likely run for president again. Now, he will run against Hillary Clinton—again—and he will lose. If he doesn't know this himself, it makes no difference. Sarah Palin will know that he is setting himself up for a loss, and she will run against him and win.

If the Republicans do not perform well in November, Trump will likely not run for president again. He will instead stand by Sarah Palin and support her campaign against Hillary Clinton.

Trump is not a selfish man. If you don't know this by now, you haven't done enough research on him. He didn't want to run for president, actually, but he had to in order to protect himself, his family, and his business. Remember, Hillary Clinton started her campaign on April 12 of 2015, 65 days before Trump announced he was running. Surely, he could see what was coming. And he didn't like it one bit. So he decided to do whatever was necessary to protect his country, and by extension, his business, his family, and himself. Today, he will do the same even if that means stepping down and leaving the candidacy open to Sarah Palin. Whether by choice or force, he will be a one-term president. And he will probably be a bit tired in 2021, but don't rule him out for even greater things to come.

True, it will be hard for Trump to realize that he has no chance to beat Hillary Clinton again, especially if his party performs well during the mid-terms. But this is the key information about Trump: He thinks "America First," and he is not an arrogant person. Don't forget, he is also the one that broke down the door, and this has cost him immense amounts of energy recently. You have to run a marathon campaign, take all the beatings from the mainstream media, go up against evil itself in the shape of Hillary Clinton, clean up the White House, take care of your family, and attempt to drain the swamp in Washington. He is just a human being. Eight years is probably too much even for him.

Fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me. These are the words of Hillary Clinton about the 2016 elections. She will not fall into the same trap again.\* Basically, she defeated herself. Too arrogant. Next time she will rise as the underdog, as the "crazy" person, as the aggressor.\* In 2016, Trump had a myriad of talking points to attack Hillary based on her political career. In 2020, Hillary will have the opportunity to attack Trump based on his governmental performance since 2017, take Obamacare, the wall, the special prosecutor\*. Whereas he will have nothing new to bring to the table as Hillary has not been in office since then. And if you think Hillary is old news, falls down stairs, and looks weak—it's because the overlords want you to have this perception of her. She never fell down those stairs. It was another woman. Just a little show. For you.



So, how is it possible for Sarah Palin to beat Hillary Clinton—and perhaps before that,

Donald Trump too? Remember 2008? Palin was lifted into world fame from a small career as Governor in the far west of the US, in Alaska, to Vice-presidential candidate, running mate with John McCain of the Republican Party. Why did McCain elevate Palin?

What we know by now is that McCain is a cold-blooded traitor. He will block his own party at the last minute, circulate rumors of brain tumors and in short do everything to derail the Trump agenda\*. So, he is a Democrat. But he was elected to run against Barack Obama. But never elected to win against Obama. You know how these two-party things work. It's a hedge bet, a win-win scenario; you control both sides to get what you want. No democracy there.

Of course, he never spent one second considering Palin as his Vice President in office, because he knew he would never become president to begin with. Therefore, he did not choose Palin to gain popularity, even though that was exactly what she offered to his campaign, begging the question if he chose her as a pre-emptive strike?

These people don't think about next year's elections. They think about the elections in 8 and 16 years. In order to control both sides, they need to be aware what potential candidates are out there—and Sarah Palin was one such presidential candidate. Extremely popular, a woman, and very young for her career. A real threat to the establishment. So, they pick her up maybe 4-8 years before she was even thinking about the possibility of becoming US President, give her a massive ego boost and a sense of purpose and success, but of course, in the end, put her in a losing campaign. What will

that do to her? What was Palin thinking and feeling in 2009 and 2010? Yes, she probably felt she had the chance of her lifetime, and she blew it, robbing her of all political ambition for the foreseeable future, thus neutralizing the threat.

As a matter of fact, Palin did announce she was thinking seriously about running for president in 2011\* and 2015. But in 2012, it was Mitt Romney who challenged the Obama phenomenon, and then in 2016, it was Donald Trump that took on the establishment. It is a real possibility that had Palin not run in 2008, she might have challenged Obama in 2012. Could she have won? Sure, by that time the Obama hysteria was slowing down and the prospect of having the first female president was no small factor in the equation.

Now, the span from 2009 to 2019 is great. Much can happen in 10 years. Has Palin realized what McCain did to her? Is she feeling ready to rise to the highest office of the country? The answer is yes. Sarah Palin is firmly grounded, very up to date\*, supporting the movement, supporting Donald Trump, and giving interviews in the media\*. If she is running for 2020, her campaign will most likely be a long one and begin in the spring of 2019. We can assume she is already positioning herself and setting up the infrastructure right now.

See, Trump was the miscalculation. They expected eight years of Bill Clinton, eight years of George Bush, eight years of Barack Obama and finally eight-hundred years of Hillary Clinton. On the Republican side for the 2016 elections, they wanted someone like Jeb Bush or Ted Cruz, but never ever Donald John Trump. Palin thought long and hard about

running at the beginning of 2015\*, but then she realized that Donald Trump would be the best Republican choice for breaking down the door. See, so next time the favor is returned to her by Trump!

Imagine how it feels for Sarah to know that Hillary is coming for a third and final time and that Trump has no chance against her, regardless of how the mid-terms turn out. And you know that after 10 years off the big political scene you are readier than ever before. You want to start preparing for a run from early 2019, in theory from late 2018, but you don't yet know how the mid-terms will impact Trump, how he will feel about your ambitions, and you don't know when exactly Hillary will announce her Presidential campaign.

Perhaps Hillary will announce her campaign at the last moment just before the filing deadline? Smart move, if you want to create confusion over who will represent the Democrats, be it Joe Biden, Mark Zuckerberg, Oprah Winfrey or Joseph Kennedy. Makes it harder to prepare against. And if you know you are going to beat Trump, you are looking to secure your bases by scouting out other possible candidates to challenge the incumbent, people like Sarah Palin who need a long campaign.

If Palin is not 100 percent sure Hillary will run again, she has a profound problem. If you are afraid you are running against Trump, but he will be the best choice as Hillary is not running, nothing good comes out of this. No, you need to print it onto your brain that Hillary WILL run again, but that she will announce it very late in the process. Everybody

will think you are crazy to start running early in 2019, going up against incumbent Donald Trump that is expected to have an easy victory against whatever Democrat who is not Hillary Clinton!

With or without a successful mid-term election, Hillary is the only Democrat who can defeat Donald Trump in 2020, but at the same time, she can and will most certainly beat him. Possibly, the only person to defeat Hillary Clinton is Sarah Palin, and the banksters know this. This is why they want to remove all suspicion that Hillary is running again, make her look too weak for a third time, move the attention to someone like Oprah Winfrey or Joe Biden, not to mention delay Hillary's campaign as much as possible\*. They want to create the shock effect at all times.

As 2019 is coming to an end, the Democrats are full of despair in full overview of the Democrats who are running for president and probably don't stand a chance against Trump. Now they detonate a nuclear bomb of hope and happiness as they introduce Hillary Clinton for the third and final time\*. Third time is the charm, right? But even more importantly, they want to keep Trump in the race; they want Palin to look weak during her campaign so that Trump will have a harder time giving up his candidacy for her.

And finally: Why is Palin the strongest challenger to go up against Hillary? She is also a woman, like Hillary, so forget all about "the female card" to win extra votes, because both presidential candidates are female. She is young and better prepared for the long battle to clean up Washington over the course of eight years. She has considerable political

experience, built up all by herself from the very beginning in Alaska. Of course nothing compared to Hillary's experience, but still it's on the plus side. She is embedded deeply in the populist movement in the country, yet not directly linked to the Trump administration, which is a plus since Hillary can't attack her for recent governmental decisions. And she's just still extremely popular around the country, a real fighter and an incredible speaker. In short, she has heart and you can feel it, but still so grounded, so you forgive her for her imperfections.

As Palin takes office on January 20, 2021, the ripple effect will be enormous—and global. People around the world will take notice of this. This is the person who topped both Trump and Hillary in the same year! And what's her political message? Make America Great Again. America First. UK First. Germany First. France First. No more EU as this is the root cause of massive immigration, corruption, and the list goes on. Basically, the EU is the swamp in Europe, and people will discover unknown resources to finally take down the entire EU system after recognizing what a historical accomplishment Sarah Palin is\*.

Remember, they are just buying extra time, waiting for Hillary to take center stage and transform the US and the EU into unified police states. That's the only way to control both American and European populations to go up against Russia in the endgame. That is why they look so weak, the EU, Merkel, Macron, and May, is because they are weak, in fact, but also very strong at the same time, because few will expect Hillary to become the next US President.

Good thing we can always watch *Hunger Games*, if we start forgetting the kind of Evelyn Snow-engineered world order that follows the endgame!

As an enemy of the free people, what do you do on November 9 of 2016 when Trump has won the election? Do you launch big counterattacks and immediately try to win back what you lost? No. You accept the loss. And then you let time go by and by. And then go by a little bit more. You let your enemy think they are winning and winning. You let them think this for a long time. Hence, they become arrogant and lazy. They start to forget what a historical victory it really was. They start feeling they cannot lose what they have gained. And then, three years later, you deliver the knock-out blow and run a blitz campaign to elevate Hillary Clinton as the leader of the free world... And all the Davids out there will raise their arms in joy!

Of course, in the meantime, you keep your base energized\*, you make sure your enemy's congressional advantage is lost, and you keep pushing the narrative that Trump is an illegitimate president and Russia is the real enemy—and you don't care about the House Intelligence Committee and just replace Russiagate\* with Skripal\*.

Moreover, you trick everybody to believe that Hillary is done with her political career, you attempt to demotivate the main threat, Sarah Palin, and you make sure Trump is not making any changes that Hillary can't undo on a short-term scale.

## The currency of so-called politics is fiat money

The crypto revolution and the collapse of the EU are closely linked together. Imagine an EU-wide, regulatory ban of all cryptocurrencies. Imagine a similar ban across the US. If you want to control the populations of America and Europe, you don't let them act as their own bank; you want them to depend on you and your banking system. Even worse, you want cash off the face of the earth, so that all financial freedom is an illusion at best.

However, Trump, Palin or a crumbling EU will never implement such a ban; they are not going to make you believe that they are "protecting" you from scams or pyramid schemes. EU might, but they still don't have the power to do so and would need Hillary as US President to implement such extreme changes across the European continent. This means that the crypto revolution will continue to run in 2018\*, 2019 and 2020 at a minimum. They are going to do everything possible to halt progress—but it will not be enough, even though a Democratic Congress might have the luck to carry out at least a partial ban in the US. And then we get into 2021.

Imagine what would happen if the US President actually supported cryptos publicly! If he or she was very clear about the threat from the banking system. If he or she started attacking the banks the same way Trump has been attacking the mainstream media. This is possible. And at some point, it might be necessary for the president to embrace cryptos in order to remain popular, a turn of events which will serve to accelerate the trend even further.

Of course, it really is uncharted territory. What will happen to the governmental powers if the entire banking system collapses and we all start using a multitude of cryptos instead of their money? Every elected representative has a real reason to be worried. But we have no other way to go. We cannot live with this corrupt banking system any longer. Fiat money has basically become a tool of suppression, and we don't need that.

Look at Vladimir Putin. He is creating his own state-backed cryptocurrency, the CryptoRuble. Why? Because he is smart. He knows what is coming, but he is also a little bit worried, so he is taking measures to ensure he can offer his people a new solution that is on par with upcoming trends and also on par with his desire to remain in control. Why not ban cryptos altogether in Russia? This is what the EU would do with Hillary as US President, but you don't get away with that unless you are sure about the consequences. And they will be immense! So you have to be ready to actually put A LOT of people in prison and deal with uprisings and civil unrest. Because what you are doing is basically illegal. We all have a right to conduct our business using cryptos. There is nothing criminal or wrong about cryptos at all. You are running your own risk, just like going into a casino, betting on a sports match or smoking or drinking alcohol at the disco, all of which are perfectly legal in our society.

Bitcoin will never be regulated in any way—this is the very soul of Bitcoin, embedded in the very fundament. Otherwise, the whole idea is lost forever. You are back to the banks once again. Other people overseeing what you do and controlling your transactions.

## A new world order will rise in a few years—but of what kind?

Here's the important link between the crypto revolution and the collapse of the EU: What is going to happen when it is being announced that the EU will cease to exist\*, and member states should start cutting ties with the EU and return to their own affairs? Well, even more importantly: What is going to happen to the eurozone? Many countries are using the Euro as national currency—Germany, France, Spain, the list is long. So what are they going to do once they find out the EU and the Euro are coming to an end?\*

This question will rise in the years 2020-2021-2022 by which time the crypto market will have long surpassed the 10 Trillion mark and culminated in mass adoption. You have to imagine a Europe where everybody is using cryptos, but there is still fiat money available, the banking system is not dead yet. When the EU collapses, this will bring down the banks and fiat currencies permanently as well. But without banks, are we just going to rely 100 percent on cryptos now?

This is not a problem as such. The EU will vanish, the banks will go down, fiat will leave the market for good and countries will return to national matters. As far as the monetary system is concerned, nations and people will be using cryptos entirely for their financial affairs. This will be a great time for personal freedom, and without the EU and the banks, we are going to enjoy much lower prices on goods and services across many sectors. To be precise: No longer will corrupt agencies steal our money, and therefore we will have much more for ourselves.

We still have computers, internet, and smartphones, but you can add blockchain and cryptos to the list. The new generations will laugh when you tell them about "the old days" when everybody had an account in a local bank and we used physical money in our daily routines. Also, centralized data storage will seem like a joke to them. By that time, the blockchain technology will have been adopted everywhere, and new generations will be unable to imagine a world without this decentralization of data.

Germany, France, Italy, and the rest of the eurozone member states will not return to fiat once the Euro loses its value. They will continue to use cryptos. Other countries that never entered the eurozone might also decide to cut ties with fiat completely. It's just not relevant any longer.

Forget about the US Dollar\*. It too\* will be replaced by cryptos\*. We are talking about a global phenomenon which is exactly what makes the crypto technology so strong. The entire concept of bank-issued currencies is dead\*. People will never trust a bank again. Unless, of course, it changes its business profile and starts helping customers to manage their crypto portfolios, safe storage of coins and related services. But this is not a "bank."

Hard to imagine all of this happening in just two, three or four years? Yet nobody would have expected us to be in the situation we are in right now just three years ago. Trump, the information war, populism, cryptos and the blockchain. Most people did not see it coming. But for those who did: Congratulations on your success!

Furthermore, we are going to experience a dramatic change in the way we get our news in the near future. The information war is on—it is the truth against the lie, but sooner or later, the lying mainstream media is going to run out of funds. Without money, you can't keep paying people to lie for you. On the other hand, the truth is free of charge.

What is going to happen is not necessarily that alternative news is going to defeat mainstream media, but rather that a third category of news will rise: Decentralized news. When independent news reporters discover profitable ways to finance their projects, they will add to an ever-growing pamphlet of news sources, joined by more and more news aggregators to help you navigate the map. You don't choose between huge media organizations like CNN, BBC, RT, or Breitbart. These are centralized news. No. You choose between smaller media projects. It's open season. Anyone could be lying, even the biggest media organizations, so why not try something new, smaller, and more authentic?

You already have the opportunity to access a multitude of independent news sources that broadcast their shows on YouTube. However, YouTube will not be their preferred channel for relaying TV news in the future. YouTube is too centralized, again\*, and the risk of censorship is too big. Instead, people will create their own channels on their own websites and join open-source, blockchain-based networks.

Regular TV channels are also coming to an end. Again, TV networks are too centralized. You are not going to use your television for accessing the TV signal in the future. You are

going to use it to access the internet—and blockchain-based services that provide you with a wide array of options. Business life will also change dramatically. Huge corporations will cease to exist. Monopolies will be a thing of the past. People will prefer small businesses that are transparent and trustworthy.

We start by making sure our country has real borders. And then, we make sure WE have borders ourselves. We don't want foreign invaders to enter our mind anymore. We don't need information or products or services from corrupt businesses. We want to feel clean, morally, and in doing so, we reject external stimuli that we don't fully understand or trust. We want our free choice back, and we don't want to feel used or manipulated any longer. We want a world without a few people running the show and telling us what to do.

## Keep your worthless Euro coins

But do you really want to rely a hundred percent on cryptos?\* You will be dependent upon a physical device with an internet connection. This is not like cash that works fine even without electricity, internet, or passwords.

Maybe not. And here's the kicker: What will happen to all of the fiat cash that people have in their wallets when fiat is completely replaced by cryptos?\* Cash is worthless by now—so are you just going to throw out your Euros?

No. People will keep the cash stored away somewhere. Perhaps not in their wallet, but somewhere. We don't want to just throw it out even though we know it's worthless. It has sentimental value. We have relied on cash for so many years.

And people in the eurozone countries, what are they going to do with the good old Euro cash? We are talking about billions and billions of bills and coins spread all over Europe. Well, the EU is broken down and the brand is forever tainted. People are fully aware the EU banks will never return to issue Euros again. Sure, many people will get rid of their Euro cash, angry and disappointed, if they didn't exchange it for cryptos before it was too late, and the Euro price collapsed. But that much cash doesn't just disappear, right? Collectors will probably see an opportunity here, but with so many bills and coins in circulation, it will be hard to be optimistic about the price at least for the next 50 years.

So what will happen to a currency that is not issued anymore, has absolutely no value, and just collects dust in millions of homes all over Europe while the world is becoming more and more fragmented and unpredictable?

At the moment, if you do not trust the banks or the cryptos so much, you can buy gold. This is a very safe option for long-term storage. You put aside \$100,000 worth of gold—and if the market collapses and the internet breaks down—you still have assets and you can survive. This is also possible with silver coins. Many people and nations are buying gold\*, actually, and the price of gold is on the rise in recent years\*. Probably, this is a reflection of a deep-felt distrust in the banking system, and to many people it probably

started back in 2008 during the financial crisis.

In a world of cryptos, people still need to feel secure about their financial situation. They might be afraid of hackers, don't trust the internet or don't want to depend on a smartphone. But cash is out, so what is left?\*

The problem with gold and silver is that it is very impractical in terms of everyday payments. You don't just walk into a shop and ask if you can pay for your groceries in gold. And even if you could pay like that, you would need really small gold pieces to be able to pay the exact price. Also, gold is heavy and not easily transportable. This is why more and more people are starting to look to Bitcoin as a virtual gold standard. However, volatility is still a problem; you don't see gold prices going up and down like Bitcoin prices. Also, when cash becomes worthless, there is probably not enough gold or silver around to satisfy the needs of millions of people.

Then, what will people do? What will happen to the 120 Billion Euro coins scattered across Europe?

Someone will go to his basement and find his old Euro collection. Maybe he has 500 Euro stored away, some bills, some coins. He will then go to a shop and ask them if they will pay him anything for his collection, if Euro can be used the same way gold and silver can. To his luck, this particular shop owner is actually willing to pay him a little bit of Bitcoin in exchange for his Euros. And that is how it begins!



Be aware, we probably have to wait until 2025-2030 before this trend starts to pick up speed. But more and more people will get an interest in buying Euros. Not that they will be worth anything, but just to collect them and feel safer somehow. They will probably discard the bills and instead measure the weight of Euro coins, like they measure the weight of gold. A 2 Euro coin is not worth twice as much as a 1 Euro coin, because it does not have twice the weight. So forget about the denominations on the coins. All that matters is that it is a EURO coin and how much it weighs. A different coin, like a British Pound or a Polish zloty, will probably be deemed to have less "fineness," like gold is measured, and hence, be worth less in terms of grams. The thing about the Euro is that so many coins are still in circulation all around Europe. The same thing can be said about US pennies or Russian rubles.

A lot of people who do not need extra safety will realize that they can finally make a little

bit of profit selling the remainder of their Euros, and they will be glad to sell them in exchange for a small boost in their monthly budget on their online wallet. And by a lot of people, we are talking millions and millions of people all over Europe. Now it begins to look like a good investment opportunity to buy some kilograms of Euro coins. The price is surging!

All of the coins will start accumulating to major holders who realize what is about to happen next: Euro coins will become a new standard of gold. Smaller investors also realize this and start collecting. In just a few years, if you want to buy some Euro to feel more secure, you need to transfer a lot of cryptos to buy just a few grams of coins. The prices will keep escalating until they reach a stable level. It is not unfeasible to imagine that you need to pay the equivalent of \$100 or €80 for just one single Euro coin! At the moment, the price of 1 gram of gold is around \$43. The average Euro coin has a weight of 5.37 gram.

The good news is that there are plenty of coins for everyone, and maybe this is the very reason Euro coins will be adopted as the new gold standard to begin with. We all have a little bit Euro lying around—and all of a sudden—we all have some extra PHYSICAL savings put aside. Not too bad.

## CONCLUSION

These are historical times... Remember the years before 2015? As an example, what big events can we highlight during the fifteen years from 2000 to 2014? There was 9/11. Other big terror attacks. The wars in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Libya. The mass adoption of the internet. The Myspace bubble. Old-fashioned phones turned in for smartphones. Social media giants surfaced. Google became the leading search engine. The EU enrolled a few new members into its union.

But do you feel the negative impact of these events? Alright, the internet was a great thing. But what else? Smartphones? Yes, there are very practical, and they are a key part of the upcoming crypto revolution—unless we all start using hardware wallets—but so many people have also become addicted to smartphones\*.

And now compare to the last three years: Trump, Brexit\*, the fake news\* war, the rise of populism, the blockchain and the cryptos. It feels like we have lit a candle in the great darkness, and as a result, a flood of good ideas and movements is unfolding. We have become aware what darkness was upon us. Feel like you are watching a De Niro\* movie now?

The reality is that the last three years and the next three years together could just be the beginning of a much larger thing to come. A revolution. A renaissance. A new world order.

This could be the moment in history where the people of the world finally come to grips with what reality they are living in, and finally stand up, to set out on a journey to truly extraordinary achievements. And don't worry, David, there will be enough food for everybody, even for you!

The world is a very dark place—we have to be honest. Living in your own little bubble is over. Everything is interconnected. But we have a unique chance to finally manage the evil elements of our world, set up new structures of power worldwide and aim for the stars.

Talking about evil elements: They are part of our world right now, but rightfully speaking, we have been asking for them ourselves. As such, we need to accept them as a mirror reply to our own selfish actions, but of course, we need to protect ourselves from the influence of mentally sick and morally corrupt people, once it stops being positive, hence lie detection and brain scanning tests\*, or at least reliable whistleblower mechanisms, at higher levels of government is probably in the cards for the years to come.